vss

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.42 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.5875 OF 2005

Dhanjisha Pervez Disawala ... Petitioner

V/s.

Miss Piloo D. Broacha & Ors. ... Respondents

Ms.D.R. Trivedi for Petitioner
Mr.B.Mehta for Respondent Nos.1 to 5
Mr.V.T. Walawalkar with L.J. Kadri for Respondent NO.6

CORAM: **SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

DATED: **MARCH 10, 2006**

P.C.:

. This Review Petition filed by the Petitioner is not maintainable as no case for review has been made out. The only ground urged by the learned Advocate for the Petitioner is that certain judgments which were pointed out to the trial Court and have been erroneously intrepreted by the trial Court. These judgments have not been pointed out to this Court according to the learned advocate and therefore, the Review Petition is maintainable.

2. In my view, no case for review has been made out. Petition Rejected.